A Recurrent Huge Sequestered Lumbar Disc Treated with Non-Operative Measures

Pyeong-Soo Kim, Junseok W. Hur, Jang-Bo Lee, Jung Yul Park

Department of Neurosurgery, Korea University College of Medicine, Korea University Anam Hospital, Seoul, Korea

Lumbar disc herniation (LDH) is a common cause of lower back pain and sciatica. It is usually benign in majority cases. However, massive extrusion and sequestration are generally treated with surgical evacuation due to accompanying neurologic deficits and intolerable pain. In this report, we present a case of 75-year-old man with severe leg pain and paresthesia caused by recurrent extremely large herniated disc which was significantly improved with conservative treatment including nerve blocks and pulsed radiofrequency treatment.
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INTRODUCTION

Lumbar disc herniation (LDH) is a common cause of lower back pain and sciatica. In spite of many decades of study results, the natural history of LDH is not fully uncovered and there is no solid indications for surgical intervention. The majority of patients suffering from radiculopathy caused by LDH recover spontaneously without surgical intervention. In case of ruptured (without continuity) disc, several studies have demonstrated that these discs have great tendency to decrease in size with conservative management. However, large disc herniation generally cause neurologic deficit and unbearable pain which easily eventually lead to surgical intervention. We present a case of extreme-large recurrent disc herniation treated without surgical intervention with good result.

CASE REPORT

A 75-year-old male was referred to the our neurosurgical department with a 3 months history of recurrent lower back pain and radiating bilateral leg pain after repeated operations. The patient suffered from intermittent lower back pain for several years. Four months ago, the symptom was aggravated and right lateral leg pain was newly developed. From another institute, lumbar 3/4 disc herniation was diagnosed and posterior approach right hemipartial laminectomy and discectomy were performed (Fig. 1A). Post-operative day 7, pain recurred and the follow-up magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) revealed recurrent disc herniation on same operated level (Fig. 1B). Revision surgery was performed at the same clinic. Symptom improved postoperatively but aggravated again after 3 weeks. He received rehabilitation therapy for 3 months without any improvement. He was referred to our institute then and MRI scan was taken that showed huge recurrent large disc herniation on the right side at L3/4 level severely compressing root and thecal

Fig. 1. Sagittal T2 MRI showing recurrent disc herniation at the L3/4 level. Initial MRI shows moderate disc protrusion at L3/4 (A). Follow-up MRI after first discectomy, post-operative day 7. Recurrent disc herniation at L3/4 is noted (B). Post-operative 3 months after revision surgery. Extreme-large recurrent sequestration is noted (1.97 cm in height, 1.52 cm in AP diameter) (C).
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Neurological examination showed decreased sensation on right L4, 5 dermatome and grade IV weakness at right ankle dorsiflexion. He was unable to walk due to worsening of symptoms. On account of neurologic deficit, we recommended additional surgery. However, the patient refused due to fearful memory from repeated surgery. Furthermore, he had other several risk factors (old age, diabetes mellitus and chronic kidney disease) which made us to decide to treat conservatively.

Pain-relieving medication was administered and two sessions of spinal block therapy (at first session bilateral L4 transforaminal dorsal root ganglion and epidural block and L4, 5 ramus block was performed, at second session right side L4, 5 transforaminal dorsal root ganglion and epidural block and L3, 4, 5 ramus block was done) with injections of Triamcinolone (long-acting synthetic corticosteroid), dexamethasone, lidocaine, Hyrax (highly purified hyaluronidase) and one session of percutaneous radiofrequency ablation (pulsed dose radiofrequency ganglionotomy on bilateral L4 and medial branch neurotomy on right L4, 5) was performed with NeuroThermTM NT1000 RF lesion generator (Neurotherm Ltd., Surrey, UK). During 4 weeks of conservative treatments, his pain gradually improved. Initial Visual analog scale (VAS) for leg pain was 8 and decreased to VAS 2 after a month. Also, weakness of ankle was improved (motor grade IV to IV+). After 3 months, pain was relieved dramatically and the patient returned to daily activities.

DISCUSSION

The natural course of LDH is benign in majority cases as herniated disc regresses spontaneously. Three mechanisms are known to play a role in regression of herniated disc; dehydration of herniated disc, retraction of herniated disc and inflammatory reaction and neovascularization. Paradoxically, in the cases of large herniated disc, it shows obvious decrease in herniated disc size. In a 7-year follow-up study of Benson et al., 83% cases of massive (50% or more of the AP diameter of spinal canal) disc herniation have shown sustained improvement if there is early sign of clinical improvement and no evidence of significant complications. Nevertheless, many surgeons concern that conservative policy could prolong the period of suffering, leading to greater nerve damage or result in cauda equine syndrome.

According to the study of Weinstein et al., motor and sensory deficits present in 50–90% of patients with LDH. They compared the outcome of conservative and surgical treatments, and the latter showed better outcome (84.7% versus 95% of good or excellent results). Especially in patients with a cauda equine syndrome and in the presence of severe motor deficits of recent onset and/or with intractable pain, surgery could be indicated. However, except in these minor cases, 75–90% of patients with acute sciatica due to a protruded lumbar disc experience a resolution of symptoms without surgery. Hakelius reported that patients’ weakness improve with time even though managed non-operatively, reporting that 80% of disc-herniated patients showed a major improvement after 6 weeks, 90% had improved after 12 weeks, and 93% had improved after 24 weeks. There was no advantage to be gained by operating on patients with a stable motor deficit (45% of the conservatively-treated group improved and 53% of the operated group improved, which shows no significant difference). Other studies have supported these conclusions and suggested that 56% to 75% of patients recovered to Medical Research Council (MRC) grade 4 or 5 by six months.

Despite the fact that there were some degrees of motor and sensory function associated with extremely large sized disc in our case, we decided to try conservative treatment under the consideration of his old age, poor medical condition and psychologic factor. Another factor we speculated the factor of absence of severe neurological deficits, and thus decided initial non-operative treatment, in our patient was that previous laminectomy may have provided substantial space even though there was recurrent, huge disc protrusion. Soon after marked improvement seen after conservative treatments, we instituted the additional rehabilitation as its benefit has shown to reduce the prevent muscle wasting as well as to allow early resumption of daily living activities.

CONCLUSION

Conservative treatment, including interventional procedures, could be considered even in recurrent LDH patients with very large size who show mild neurological deficits, especially when patients do not show willingness for additional operation(s).
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