Effect of Cyberknife Radiosurgery for Elderly Patients with Trigeminal Neuralgia
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Objective: We retrospectively analyzed the outcome of 28 patients over 65 years old with medically intractable Trigeminal Neuralgia (TN) to evaluate whether CyberKnife RadioSurgery (CKRS) is an effective and safe modality of therapy. Methods: We collect retrospective data on 28 patients undergoing CKRS in patients with TN since April 1, 2004. We performed CKRS to treat TN in older patients. And a Computed Tomography (CT) cisternography obtained a distortion-free localization of the trigeminal nerve. The treatment target was to designate a 3-5 mm proximal region’s trigeminal nerve, excluding the REZ of the trigeminal nerve in the brain stem. We set treatment planning that the radiation targets could be included in the 80-percent isodose curve with radiosurgery doses of 60-64 Gy. The median follow-up period after CKRS was 36 months (24-48 months). Pain intensity was measured using a Visual Analog Scale (VAS), Quality of Life Scale (QOLS) were measured at baseline and after CKRS. Results: Relief of pain in 28 patients with TN after CKRS showed that pain relief was achieved in 15 patients within the first 24 hours after CKRS. Within 7 days, 25 of the 28 study patients reported early pain relief. The pain control rate was 85.7% at follow up of 24 months. Pain relapsed in four of 28 patients. Twelve patients had hyperesthesia (35.7%) with no other complication. The mean (±S.D.) VAS of baseline status was 7.7 (±0.97) before CKRS in patients with TN. VAS decreased to 3.6±1.8 within 24 hours after CKRS compared with baseline VAS. The relief of pain was sustained by 2.1±1.5 for 1 week and by 1.8±1.7 at 6 months. After 12 months and 24 months, VAS remained by 2.0±1.4 and 2.1±1.5. The dose of gabapentin (1,200±600 mg/day) before treatment, the gabapentin dose in the six month did not significantly decrease in taking gabapentin (900±300 mg/day) compared with the baseline dose. Consumption after 24 months was also significantly reduced by 600±300 mg/day. Conclusion: We conclude that CKRS is a safe and effective treatment for elderly patients with TN in this study. In most patients, the pain was relieved in seven days after CKRS, and no severe complications occurred. However, in older patients, CKRS could be considered as a treatment option for TN.
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INTRODUCTION

Trigeminal Neuralgia (TN) is a neuropathic disorder characterized by episodes of intense pain in the face, originating from the trigeminal nerve. TN is not easily controlled but can be managed with a variety of treatment options. In curing TN, the medical treatment based on anodynes, anticonvulsants, muscle relaxants. Nerve block based on local anesthetic, alcohol or glycerol on trigeminal neural division and ganglion. Also, RadioFrequency (RF) coagulation and the MicroVascular Decompression (MVD) have been used to treat TN in elderly patients. CyberKnife (Accuray Inc., Sunnyvale, CA, USA) is constituted by a linear accelerator (LINAC) and a robot arm having six joints. In this study, We retrospectively analyzed the outcome of 28 elderly patients with intractable TN to evaluate whether CKRS is an effective and safe mode of therapy.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

1. Subjects

We collect retrospective data on 28 patients are unsuitable for operation due to old age (over 65 years old) were treated by CKRS with CT cisternography planning since April 1, 2004. Among 28 patients, 8 were male, and 20 were female, ranging between 65 and 86 years old (74.75±6.35: Mean±SD). Eighteen patients had a location of pain on the right and ten on the left.
They did not show a response to drugs with NSAIDs, SNRIs and gabapentinoids. Patients were treated with medication for 3 months up to 126 months (12 months: Median). The characteristic of patient groups treated by CKRS is summarized in Table 1. Pain intensity measured using VAS, Quality of life scale (American Chronic Pain Association), and gabapentin consumption were measured at baseline and after CKRS. The patient was scheduled to return to the outpatient clinic after one week during the twenty-four-months follow-up visits after CKRS.

2. Therapeutic method

1) Inclusion criteria

Recently, radiosurgery has been proposed for drug-resistant TN patients (or in case of relevant drug-related side effects) especially in case of the absence of other primary indications (i.e., neurovascular conflict). RS has to be always considered for patients who are not suitable for surgery and for elderly patients (also in case of MR evidence of neurovascular conflict). Patients’ preferences can also be considered. Burchiel’s type 1 (or classic) and type 2 are the TN forms most commonly treated by using RS. RS may also be advocated in selected cases of symptomatic (MS-related) and post herpetic TN in some cases of atypical facial pain. The treatment of neuropathic and deafferentation TN is not generally indicated.

2) Procedure

(1) CT cisternography

CT cisternography should be performed. Firstly, Isovist of 5 cc should be injected into a thecal sac. Next, the patient should take trendelenburg position for one hour to take an image. The whole head should be scanned at intervals of 1.25 mm by CT in order that the anatomical shape of basal cistern can be well shown.

(2) Treatment planning

The target volume was usually defined as a 3- to 5-mm segment of the trigeminal nerve (4 mm in 75% of cases), localized in the cisternal portion, 3 mm anterior to the dorsal root entry zone. Patients were treated in a uniformed fashion according to treatment planning that was established to the end that radiation targets could be included in the 80-percent isodose curve with 60-64 Gy radiosurgery doses (Fig. 1).

(3) Follow-up examinations

After CKRS, patients were evaluated for pain intensity, time to pain relief, presence of facial numbness, or anesthesia dolorosa. Follow-up assessments were performed every 3 months after CKRS as outpatient visits or by telephone interviews. The first follow-up examination took place at 3 months, and the last available follow-up was referred to as the long-term follow-up. In this study, VAS was used in the pain intensity score system.

3. Statistical analysis

Pain intensity measured using VAS, and morphine consumption at baseline and each visit after CKRS. The repeated measure ANOVA is used to determine whether there are any statistically significant differences between the means of baseline and the means of a periodic visit.

RESULT

1. Pain relief after CKRS versus baseline

Relief of pain in 28 patients with TN after CKRS showed that pain relief was achieved in 15 patients within the first 24 hours after treatment. Within 7 days, 25 of the 28 study patients reported early pain relief. The rate of pain control was 85.7% at follow up of 24 months. Pain relapsed in four of 28 patients. Twelve patients had hyperesthesia (35.7%) with no other complication. The mean (±S.D.) VAS of baseline status was 7.7 (±0.97) before CKRS in patients with TN. VAS decreased to 3.6±1.8 within 24 hours after CKRS compared with baseline VAS. The relief of pain was sustained by 2.1±1.5 for 1 week and by 1.8±1.7 at 6 months. After 12 months and 24 months, VAS remained by 2.0±1.4 and 2.1±1.5. The repeated measure

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1. Demographic characteristics of patients</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Patient characteristics (n=28)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (year)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range: 65-86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean±SD: 74.75±6.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female: 13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male: 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right: 16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left: 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lesion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V1: 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V2: 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V3: 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V2+3: 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of medication (months)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range: 24-53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median: 38</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
ANOVA was used to determine whether there was any statistically significant difference between the means of baseline and regular visits. Mean difference (4.6±0.83) after treatment: 5.6±0.43 after 1 week; 5.8±0.63 after 6 months; 5.7±0.43 after 12 months; 5.6±0.43 after 24 months) between the baseline VAS (7.7±0.97) and VAS after CKRS is statistically significant (p <0.001) during follow-up visit for 24 months (Table 2, Fig. 2).

2. Gabapentin consumption after CKRS versus baseline

Relief of pain after CKRS lasts for 24 months, which in most cases, for over 6 month. CKRS made it possible to reduce gabapentin consumption. The gabapentin dose in the 6 month significantly decrease in taking gabapentin (600±300 mg/day) compared with the dose of gabapentin (1,200±600 mg/day) before treatment. Consumption of gabapentin after 24 months was also significantly reduced by 600±300 mg/day and the baseline doses were 1,200±600 mg/day that significantly reduced to 900 ±300 mg/day after 7 days after CKRS (p<0.001). The reduction by 600±300 mg/day in daily gabapentin consumption was observed at 6 months after CKRS compared with the baseline (Table 3). The mean dose value (±S.D.) after 6 months was also significantly reduced by 900±300 mg/day.

![Fig. 1. A CT cisternography was performed to obtain an distortion-free localization of the trigeminal nerve. Treatment planning was established to the end that radiation targets could be all included in the 80-percent isodose curve with radiosurgery doses of 60-64 Gy. The 80% isodose line was prescribed in a conformal fashion to a 3 to 5-mm length of the nerve, the most proximal point of the nerve identified for the purposes of treatment planning was 3 mm away from the brainstem.]

**Table 2. Clinical characteristics stratified by VAS after CKRS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>After CKRS</th>
<th>Baseline Mean</th>
<th>Treatment Mean</th>
<th>Mean Difference</th>
<th>Standard Deviation</th>
<th>95.00% CI of difference</th>
<th>Significant</th>
<th>Adjusted p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>vs. 24 hours</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>2.9 to 4.3</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vs. 1 week</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.5 to 2.6</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vs. 6 months</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>1.1 to 2.4</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vs. 12 months</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.5 to 2.5</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vs. 24 months</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.5 to 2.5</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3. Side effect

Hypesthesia was observed in 12 out of 28 patients. The patients with hypesthesia were divided into two main groups: 64 Gy to the 80% isodose line was delivered to one group of 9 patients and 60 Gy to the 80% isodose line was done to another group of 3 patients. This study reported a 35.7% increase of new hypesthesia symptoms in the follow up period, we observed mild to moderate numbness in the patients. The median time to the onset of numbness was 6 months (range, 2-16 months). Facial hypesthesia was observed in 12 (35.7%) out of 28 patients but all showed excellent or good response at 7 days after CKRS. However, other nervous complications were not observed. Table 2 shows restorative effects, therapeutic dose and adverse reactions.

DISCUSSION

TN is caused by compression of the trigeminal nerve root by blood vessels, usually within a few millimeters of the junction between the trigeminal nerve root and the pons.6,6 Dandy initially mentioned the theory of neurovascular compression in 1934. He reported that 66 (30.5%) out of 215 patients, who underwent surgeries through craniotomy, experienced perstriction toward the trigeminal nerve. Likewise, Jannetta advanced his theory for the better. The real frequency of the perstriction towards the trigeminal nerve, observed by occipital craniotomy, ran up from 11% to 96%. He reported that He should set the trigeminal neuralgia's neurovascular etiology and the penetrative way of the trigeminal nerve root to the therapeutic target24).

The application of RS to treat TN dates back to 1950s with Leksell, who first used stereotactic radiosurgery targeting the gasserian ganglion in humans with encouraging results10). Nowadays, the RS technique is a well-established option for the treatment of TN, and the series reported confirm the efficacy and safety of this modality Northwest Neuroscience Institute’s Young et al. and Pollock et al., who have reported multi-institutional researches, dealt with safety and efficacy of radiosurgery in detail among their reports3,15,16,21,23). The same as this study, such reports claimed that the penetrative way of trigeminal nerve root is the target of RS2,9,14,23).

The rationale about the trigeminal neuralgia relief after RS is related to the effect on nervous amyelinic, low caliber cells associated to nociceptive sensibility, and to the destruction of the ionic channels and relative blockade of the electrical conduction12,22). Hodaie et al. by using tractography has helped the neurologic community reach a better understanding of the effect of RS on the trigeminal nerve. The authors have observed that radial but not axial diffusivities changed markedly after RS, suggesting that this irradiation technique primarily affects myelin. Also, tractography seems to be a more sensitive imaging tool than conventional gadolinium-enhanced MR, as confirmed by the fact that, for patients with long-term follow-up, recovery of FA/RD correlated with pain recurrence5).
with the reported rates from studies of gamma knife (Elekta AB, Stockholm, Sweden) and linear accelerator (LINAC) radiosurgery, which have reported initial pain relief of 50 to 96%. This study is the result derived from applying CKRS to treat TN. After CKRS, the pain relief was analyzed on the basis of VAS system. 28 patients showed excellent response within 7 days. The reason why their pain were alleviated so rapidly can be explained by several causes. First, its accuracy is higher than the existing instruments because the therapeutic target is set by using positive contrast CT cisternography. Second, treatment planning and irradiation can be performed without any restrictions because there is no stereotactic ring. Lastly, isodose distribution can be easily equalized along the trigeminal nerve. At periods of 2 years follow-up, 68% of our patients continued to have excellent pain relief. This is also comparable to other modes of radiosurgery. At gamma knife centers, 40 to 59% sustained pain relief was observed at 2 to 5 years follow-up.

Hypoesthesia/dysesthesia is the most common adverse event. The median hypoesthesia rate ranges between 19% and 28%. Others toxicities including anesthesia dolorosa, dysgeusia, dry eye syndrome, hearing impairment, and masticator weakness are very rare. Factors which may affect the toxicity are the target selection, the length of the irradiated nerve, and the dose. Some studies seem to suggest a potential advantage of the intracisternal target compared to the REZ in terms of complication rate. Some other authors found that longer portions of irradiated nerve as well as doses higher than 90 Gy may lead to a higher toxicity rate. This study reported a 32% increase of new hypesthesia symptoms in the follow-up period, which we observed mild to moderate numbness in the patients. The median time to the onset of numbness was 10 months (range, 2-16 months).

The merit of CKRS is that homogeneous conformity can be formed, the ray can be accurately irradiated and the therapeutic target can be accurately set. Specifically, it is impossible to get satisfactory dose distribution from the thin and long trigeminal nerve by performing one-time spherical irradiation. Dose distribution can be satisfiably formed though repetitive irradiation based on secondary isocenter, but it increases morbidity. The accuracy of CKRS is based on the accuracy of ray emitting and target positioning. The accuracy of ray emitting can be high by the robot arm with six joints and the image-seeking camera system. The accuracy of target positioning can be high by the mutual function between CT imaging and treatment planning. According to a phantom research on CKRS, the collimation error scored 1.1±0.3 mm when CT-scanning intervals were 1.25 mm. The error remarkably improved in comparison with the collimation error of 5 mm, derived from the treatment planning of conformal radiosurgery on the basis of the data gotten by MRI. It is also reasonable to speculate that the short latency and excellent response obtained in this series stems from better treatment accuracy. CKRS with CT cisternography may have enhanced overall accuracy, which in turn may have been manifested in greater treatment efficiency.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, this clinical study suggests that CKRS is a safe and effective treatment for Elderly Patients with TN in this study. In most patients, the pain was relieved in seven days after CKRS, and no severe complications occurred. However, in older patients, CKRS could be considered as a treatment option for TN.
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